EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EMERGING DATA SCIENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING

Predictive Analytics, Organizational Capability, and Human Capital Resilience under Climate Volatility: An Integrative Theoretical and Empirical Analysis across Contemporary Organizations and Agricultural Cooperatives

Dr. Ananya Rao
Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India

V0LUME02 ISSUE02 (2025)
Published Date: 21 December 2025 // Page no.: - 12-19

ABSTRACT

The accelerating convergence of climate volatility, digital transformation, and human capital uncertainty has profoundly altered the strategic landscape faced by contemporary organizations and cooperative systems alike. While predictive analytics and human-centered management practices have been extensively examined within corporate and technology-driven organizational contexts, parallel research on climate-exposed agricultural cooperatives has evolved largely in isolation, emphasizing environmental vulnerability, adaptation, and food security. This article advances an integrative and interdisciplinary research framework that connects predictive analytics in human resource management, organizational capability theory, and climate adaptation research to explain how organizations and cooperatives can build resilience through data-driven decision-making and sustained employee and member commitment. Drawing on foundational work in people analytics, organizational support, turnover theory, and strategic capability development, alongside climate vulnerability and agricultural sustainability literature, the study develops a comprehensive theoretical synthesis that transcends sectoral boundaries.

The article argues that predictive analytics functions not merely as a technical tool, but as a strategic capability that reshapes organizational learning, employee experience, and adaptive capacity under uncertainty. In corporate settings, analytics-driven insights into employee engagement, diversity, and turnover enable proactive interventions that stabilize human capital and enhance long-term performance. In agricultural cooperatives, analogous analytical logics—applied to climate data, yield variability, and cooperative participation—support anticipatory adaptation and collective resilience. By integrating human capital theories such as perceived organizational support, employee–organization exchange, and turnover embeddedness with resource-based and capability-based views of the firm, the study demonstrates how data-informed governance strengthens trust, retention, and adaptive coordination in both organizational and cooperative contexts.

Methodologically, the article adopts a qualitative, theory-building approach grounded in comparative literature analysis, interpretive synthesis, and cross-contextual reasoning. Rather than producing statistical generalizations, the study offers deep analytical elaboration of mechanisms through which analytics-enabled strategies influence retention, motivation, and adaptive behavior. The findings suggest that organizations and cooperatives that align predictive analytics with inclusive governance, ethical data use, and supportive institutional practices are better positioned to manage both workforce instability and climate-induced shocks. The discussion highlights implications for management theory, HR analytics, climate adaptation policy, and cooperative governance, while also identifying limitations related to contextual specificity and data infrastructure disparities. Overall, the article contributes a novel integrative perspective that reframes predictive analytics as a cornerstone of organizational and human resilience in an era defined by environmental and socio-economic uncertainty.

Keywords: Predictive analytics; organizational capability; human capital resilience; climate adaptation; employee retention; agricultural cooperatives

Introduction

The contemporary organizational environment is increasingly characterized by overlapping forms of uncertainty that extend beyond traditional market competition and technological disruption. Among the most consequential of these uncertainties are climate volatility and human capital instability, both of which exert profound and interdependent effects on organizational performance, sustainability, and social legitimacy. Climate change has intensified environmental shocks, disrupted production systems, and deepened vulnerability across regions, particularly in agriculture-dependent economies and cooperative systems (IPCC, 2022; FAO, 2023). At the same time, organizations across sectors face persistent challenges related to employee turnover, skill shortages, diversity and inclusion, and the maintenance of engagement in volatile contexts (Holtom et al., 2008; Hom & Griffeth, 2017). These dynamics have stimulated growing interest in predictive analytics as a strategic response to complexity, uncertainty, and risk (Davenport & Dyché, 2013).

Within corporate and technology-driven organizations, predictive analytics has emerged as a central component of modern human resource management, enabling firms to anticipate turnover, personalize employee experiences, and align human capital investments with strategic objectives (IBM, 2015; Gartner, 2020). Influential managerial accounts emphasize that data-driven people practices can fundamentally transform how organizations attract, retain, and motivate talent, thereby redefining leadership and organizational culture (Bock, 2015). Such perspectives reflect a broader shift from reactive personnel administration toward proactive, evidence-based human capital governance. Yet, despite the maturation of this literature, predictive analytics remains under-theorized as an organizational capability that interacts with environmental uncertainty and social vulnerability beyond the corporate domain.

In parallel, climate change scholarship has developed a rich body of knowledge on vulnerability, adaptation, and resilience, particularly in relation to agricultural systems and cooperatives in developing and emerging economies (Challinor et al., 2020; Bizimana & Sibomana, 2024). Agricultural cooperatives are especially exposed to climate-induced risks, including erratic rainfall, heat stress, floods, and soil degradation, all of which threaten productivity, income stability, and food security (Kabubo-Mariara & Karanja, 2021; Liu et al., 2024). Research in this domain has increasingly recognized the importance of institutional capacity, collective action, and information access in shaping adaptive outcomes (Ford & Berrang-Ford, 2020). However, the analytical tools and organizational theories commonly employed in human resource analytics research have rarely been integrated into studies of cooperative adaptation and climate resilience.

This fragmentation of scholarly inquiry represents a significant theoretical and practical gap. On the one hand, organizational and HR analytics research tends to focus on internal workforce dynamics within firms, often abstracted from broader environmental and ecological pressures. On the other hand, climate adaptation research emphasizes biophysical and socio-economic drivers of vulnerability, frequently underplaying the role of human capital management, retention, and motivation within adaptive institutions. Bridging these literatures is essential for understanding how organizations and cooperatives can sustain human commitment and adaptive capacity under conditions of compounded uncertainty.

Theoretical foundations for such integration can be found in the resource-based view and its extensions, which conceptualize human capital, organizational processes, and analytical capabilities as sources of sustained competitive advantage when they are valuable, rare, inimitable, and embedded in supportive organizational systems (Barney, 1991; Barney, 2020; Kraaijenbrink et al., 2020). Organizational capability theory further emphasizes that it is not individual resources alone, but their coordinated deployment through routines, governance structures, and learning mechanisms, that enables adaptation and performance over time (Ulrich & Lake, 2015; Kaplan & Norton, 2004). Predictive analytics, when understood through this lens, constitutes a meta-capability that enhances sensing, interpretation, and response to emerging risks, whether these risks pertain to employee turnover or climate-induced production shocks.

Human capital theories provide additional insight into why analytics-driven strategies matter for resilience. Perceived organizational support theory posits that employees' beliefs about the extent to which their organization values their contributions and cares about their well-being shape commitment, performance, and retention (Eisenberger et al., 1986). Empirical research on turnover processes demonstrates that quitting decisions are influenced by complex constellations of individual, relational, and contextual factors, rather than by isolated job attributes (Maertz & Campion, 2004; Shaw et al., 2013). Predictive analytics enables organizations to model these multifaceted dynamics, identify early warning signals, and design targeted interventions that reinforce support and embeddedness. Analogously, in cooperative contexts, members' continued participation depends on trust, perceived fairness, and expectations of collective benefit, all of which can be informed by systematic data analysis of climate risks, yield outcomes, and institutional performance (Chirwa & Banda, 2021).

Despite these conceptual parallels, existing research has rarely explored predictive analytics as a unifying mechanism that links human capital management and climate adaptation across organizational forms. This article addresses this gap by developing an integrative research framework that synthesizes insights from HR analytics, organizational capability theory, and climate vulnerability studies. Rather than treating corporate organizations and agricultural cooperatives as fundamentally distinct, the study conceptualizes them as adaptive systems facing analogous challenges of uncertainty, coordination, and human commitment. By doing so, it extends the relevance of influential works on people analytics and organizational support into domains traditionally examined through environmental and development lenses.

The central objective of this article is therefore to examine how predictive analytics contributes to organizational and cooperative resilience by shaping human capital stability, adaptive decision-making, and institutional trust under climate volatility. Specifically, the study seeks to answer three interrelated questions. First, how has predictive analytics been theorized and applied as a strategic capability in managing employee experience, retention, and

performance within organizations? Second, what insights from climate adaptation and agricultural cooperative research illuminate the role of information, institutional capacity, and human participation in resilience-building? Third, how can these bodies of knowledge be integrated into a coherent framework that informs both management theory and practice across sectors?

To address these questions, the article adopts a qualitative, theory-driven methodology grounded in extensive literature synthesis and interpretive analysis. This approach is particularly appropriate given the study's aim to generate conceptual integration rather than empirical generalization, and to elaborate mechanisms and implications in depth (Lesnikowski et al., 2021). Each subsequent section builds systematically on this foundation, developing methodological rationale, interpretive results, and an extended discussion that situates the findings within broader scholarly debates.

In doing so, the article contributes to multiple strands of literature. It advances HR analytics research by embedding predictive models of turnover and engagement within a broader context of environmental uncertainty and social responsibility. It enriches climate adaptation scholarship by introducing organizational and human capital theories that foreground retention, motivation, and governance. Finally, it offers practical insights for leaders, policymakers, and cooperative managers seeking to harness data ethically and strategically to sustain human and institutional resilience in an era of profound change.

Methodology

The methodological orientation of this study is grounded in qualitative, theory-building research, designed to generate deep conceptual integration rather than empirical measurement or statistical generalization. Given the article's objective of synthesizing predictive analytics, organizational capability theory, and climate adaptation research, a qualitative and interpretive approach is particularly appropriate, as it enables extensive theoretical elaboration, critical comparison of scholarly traditions, and nuanced interpretation of complex organizational phenomena (Ford & Berrang-Ford, 2020). This methodological choice reflects the recognition that the phenomena under investigation—human capital resilience, organizational support, and adaptive capacity under climate volatility—are multidimensional, context-dependent, and embedded in social and institutional structures that cannot be meaningfully reduced to numerical indicators alone.

At the core of the methodology is an integrative literature analysis that draws systematically on the combined reference corpus provided, encompassing human resource analytics, organizational behavior, strategic management, and climate vulnerability studies. Rather than treating these literatures as discrete or hierarchically ordered, the study adopts a dialogical approach in which concepts, assumptions, and findings from each domain are placed in conversation with one another. This approach aligns with interpretive traditions in management and environmental research that emphasize sensemaking, meaning construction, and theoretical synthesis as legitimate and valuable forms of scholarly contribution (Ulrich & Lake, 2015; Lesnikowski et al., 2021).

The analytical process unfolded in three iterative phases. In the first phase, foundational theories and frameworks were identified within each domain. In the organizational and HR analytics literature, particular attention was given to theories of perceived organizational support, employee–organization exchange, turnover processes, and organizational capability development (Eisenberger et al., 1986; Maertz & Campion, 2004; Shaw et al., 2013). Influential practitioner-oriented and strategic texts on people analytics and data-driven leadership were also incorporated to capture how predictive analytics has been operationalized in real organizational settings (Bock, 2015; Davenport & Dyché, 2013). In the climate and agricultural literature, the analysis focused on vulnerability frameworks, adaptive capacity, cooperative performance, and the socio-economic impacts of climate variability on agricultural systems (IPCC, 2022; Challinor et al., 2020; Bizimana & Sibomana, 2024).

The second phase involved thematic coding and conceptual mapping across these bodies of work. Key themes such as uncertainty management, information asymmetry, trust, institutional support, and collective action were identified as cross-cutting constructs that transcend sectoral boundaries. For example, the concept of predictive analytics as a tool for anticipating employee turnover was analytically linked to the use of climate forecasting and seasonal predictions in anticipating crop yield anomalies and cooperative risk exposure (Ceglar et al., 2021). This phase was characterized by constant comparison, in which similarities and divergences between organizational and cooperative contexts were examined critically rather than assumed a priori (Kraaijenbrink et al., 2020).

In the third phase, these themes were synthesized into an integrative conceptual framework that positions predictive analytics as an organizational capability enabling resilience through enhanced sensing, interpretation, and response. This synthesis did not aim to impose a single unified model, but rather to articulate a set of relational mechanisms through which analytics-driven practices influence human capital stability and adaptive behavior. Throughout this process, the study maintained reflexive awareness of contextual differences, acknowledging that corporate organizations and agricultural cooperatives operate under distinct institutional, technological, and resource

constraints (European Environment Agency, 2023; FAO, 2023).

A key methodological rationale for this approach lies in its capacity to surface latent assumptions and normative orientations within existing research. For instance, HR analytics studies often assume the availability of high-quality data infrastructure and managerial expertise, whereas climate adaptation studies frequently highlight data scarcity and institutional fragmentation in vulnerable regions (Fadimu et al., 2022). By juxtaposing these perspectives, the methodology enables a more critical and realistic assessment of the conditions under which predictive analytics can function as a resilience-enhancing capability.

The methodology also explicitly incorporates an ethical and governance lens. Given growing concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and power asymmetries in analytics-driven management, the analysis critically examines how predictive analytics intersects with perceived organizational support and trust (Microsoft, 2020; Gartner, 2020). This ethical dimension is particularly salient in cooperative and agricultural contexts, where historical marginalization and unequal access to resources can exacerbate vulnerability if data-driven interventions are implemented without participatory governance (Chirwa & Banda, 2021).

In terms of limitations, the qualitative and literature-based methodology necessarily constrains the study's ability to make causal claims or to quantify effect sizes. The findings are interpretive and theoretical in nature, and their applicability may vary across contexts depending on institutional capacity, technological infrastructure, and socio-cultural norms (Kabubo-Mariara & Karanja, 2021). However, these limitations are consistent with the study's aim to generate deep conceptual insight and to stimulate future empirical research rather than to provide prescriptive metrics.

Overall, the methodological approach adopted in this article prioritizes depth, integration, and theoretical rigor. By engaging extensively with diverse literatures and situating predictive analytics within a broader landscape of organizational capability and climate adaptation, the methodology lays a robust foundation for the interpretive results and discussion that follow.

Results

The interpretive results of this study emerge from the systematic synthesis of organizational analytics and climate adaptation literatures, revealing a set of interrelated mechanisms through which predictive analytics contributes to human capital resilience and adaptive capacity. Rather than presenting empirical outcomes in numerical terms, the results are articulated as analytically grounded insights that illuminate patterns, relationships, and implications evident across the reviewed scholarship (Davenport & Dyché, 2013; IPCC, 2022). Each result reflects a convergence of findings from multiple sources and is interpreted through the theoretical lenses established earlier.

One central result is the identification of predictive analytics as a capability for anticipatory governance. Across organizational contexts, analytics-driven approaches enable leaders to shift from reactive responses to proactive interventions by identifying emerging risks before they materialize fully (IBM, 2015). In human resource management, this anticipatory capacity is most visible in turnover prediction, where models integrate data on performance, engagement, career progression, and external labor market conditions to flag employees at risk of leaving (Hom & Griffeth, 2017). The literature consistently suggests that such foresight allows organizations to deploy targeted support measures, thereby reinforcing perceived organizational support and reducing unwanted attrition (Eisenberger et al., 1986).

Analogous patterns are evident in agricultural and climate-related research, where anticipatory use of climate and yield data enhances adaptive decision-making. Studies on sub-seasonal and seasonal climate prediction demonstrate that timely information enables cooperatives and farmers to adjust planting schedules, input use, and risk-sharing arrangements, mitigating the adverse impacts of climate extremes (Ceglar et al., 2021; Khan & Rehman, 2023). The interpretive result here is that anticipatory governance, whether applied to human capital or agricultural production, relies on similar informational logics: the capacity to detect weak signals, interpret complex data, and act collectively before shocks escalate.

A second result concerns the role of predictive analytics in strengthening perceived support and trust within organizations and cooperatives. In corporate settings, analytics-informed personalization of employee experience—such as tailored learning pathways, flexible work arrangements, and diversity initiatives—signals organizational care and investment, thereby enhancing commitment and retention (Bock, 2015; Microsoft, 2020). This finding resonates with perceived organizational support theory, which emphasizes that employees reciprocate supportive treatment with loyalty and performance (Eisenberger et al., 1986).

In cooperative contexts, trust and participation are similarly shaped by perceptions of institutional responsiveness and fairness. Research on agricultural cooperatives indicates that members are more likely to remain engaged when they perceive that cooperative leadership uses data transparently to allocate resources, manage climate risks,

and advocate for collective interests (Chirwa & Banda, 2021; Fadimu et al., 2022). The interpretive result is that predictive analytics can function as a trust-enhancing mechanism when embedded in inclusive governance structures, but may undermine trust if perceived as opaque or extractive.

A third result highlights the interaction between predictive analytics and organizational capability development. Strategic management literature emphasizes that capabilities are dynamic and path-dependent, evolving through learning and coordination rather than static resource accumulation (Barney, 2020; Ulrich & Lake, 2015). The analysis reveals that predictive analytics contributes to capability development by institutionalizing learning processes that integrate data, experience, and feedback. In organizations, analytics-driven insights inform strategy maps and performance management systems, linking intangible assets such as human capital to tangible outcomes (Kaplan & Norton, 2004).

Similarly, in agricultural systems, the integration of climate data, agronomic knowledge, and cooperative experience fosters adaptive capability over time. Studies of climate vulnerability consistently show that cooperatives with stronger informational and organizational capacities are better able to diversify livelihoods, adopt new technologies, and coordinate collective responses to environmental stressors (Bizimana & Sibomana, 2024; Kabubo-Mariara & Karanja, 2021). The result underscores that predictive analytics is not merely a technical add-on, but a catalyst for organizational learning and capability-building.

A fourth result pertains to the differentiated impacts of analytics across contexts of inequality and resource constraint. While corporate organizations often possess sophisticated data infrastructures, many agricultural cooperatives operate under conditions of data scarcity, limited digital access, and institutional fragility (European Environment Agency, 2023; FAO, 2023). The literature suggests that these disparities shape the effectiveness of analytics-driven interventions, with resource-rich organizations more readily translating data insights into action. This result highlights the importance of contextual sensitivity and capacity-building in extending analytics-based approaches to vulnerable settings (Ford & Berrang-Ford, 2020).

Finally, the results reveal a normative dimension concerning ethics and power. Analytics-driven decision-making concentrates interpretive authority in those who control data and models, raising questions about surveillance, bias, and exclusion (Gartner, 2020). In HR contexts, poorly governed analytics can erode trust and exacerbate turnover rather than alleviate it (Shaw et al., 2013). In cooperative and climate contexts, similar risks arise if data is used to marginalize smallholders or to justify top-down interventions without participation (Ibrahim et al., 2022). The interpretive result is that the resilience-enhancing potential of predictive analytics is contingent upon ethical governance and inclusive participation.

Together, these results establish predictive analytics as a multifaceted capability that shapes anticipatory governance, trust, learning, and equity across organizational and cooperative systems. They provide the analytical foundation for the extended discussion that follows, which situates these findings within broader theoretical debates and explores their implications for future research and practice.

Discussion

The findings of this study invite a deep re-examination of predictive analytics not merely as a technical instrument, but as a constitutive element of organizational capability and human resilience in contexts of compounded uncertainty. By integrating insights from human resource analytics, organizational behavior, and climate adaptation research, the discussion advances a theoretically enriched understanding of how data-driven practices reshape relationships between institutions and the people who sustain them. This section elaborates these implications through sustained engagement with scholarly debates, counter-arguments, and future research directions, positioning the study's contributions within the broader landscape of management and environmental scholarship.

One of the most significant theoretical implications concerns the reframing of predictive analytics as a social and organizational capability rather than a neutral technology. Traditional analytics discourse often emphasizes efficiency, accuracy, and optimization, implicitly assuming that better data leads to better decisions (Davenport & Dyché, 2013). However, the integrative analysis presented here suggests that the value of predictive analytics lies equally in its relational effects—how it shapes perceptions of support, fairness, and competence within organizations and cooperatives. This perspective aligns with and extends perceived organizational support theory by highlighting how analytics-informed practices can signal institutional care when they are used to anticipate needs and mitigate risks proactively (Eisenberger et al., 1986).

At the same time, this reframing complicates simplistic narratives of data-driven management. Critics of HR analytics argue that predictive models risk reducing employees to data points, undermining autonomy and dignity (Gartner, 2020). The discussion acknowledges these concerns and situates them within broader debates about algorithmic governance and power asymmetries. Importantly, the findings suggest that the negative consequences often attributed to analytics are not inherent to the technology itself, but arise from its embedding in

organizational cultures and governance structures that prioritize control over support (Shaw et al., 2013). This insight resonates with climate adaptation literature, which similarly emphasizes that information alone does not guarantee resilience unless it is integrated into participatory and equitable institutions (Ford & Berrang-Ford, 2020).

Another key contribution of the discussion is the extension of organizational capability theory into climate-exposed cooperative systems. While the resource-based view has been widely applied in corporate strategy research, its relevance to cooperatives and agricultural institutions has been less systematically explored (Kraaijenbrink et al., 2020). The analysis demonstrates that capabilities such as data interpretation, learning, and coordination are as critical to cooperative resilience as they are to competitive advantage in firms. By conceptualizing predictive analytics as a capability that enhances sensing and responding to environmental change, the study bridges strategic management theory and climate adaptation research in a novel way (Barney, 2020; IPCC, 2022).

This integrative approach also sheds light on debates about scale and transferability. Some scholars caution against transferring management practices developed in multinational corporations to small-scale agricultural contexts, citing differences in resources, governance, and socio-cultural norms (Kabubo-Mariara & Karanja, 2021). The discussion does not dispute these differences, but argues that the underlying principles of anticipatory governance, trust-building, and learning are broadly applicable, even if their operationalization must be context-specific. In this sense, predictive analytics serves as a conceptual bridge rather than a one-size-fits-all solution.

The discussion further engages with turnover and retention research by situating employee exit decisions within broader ecosystems of risk and uncertainty. Traditional turnover models focus on job satisfaction, alternatives, and shocks within organizational boundaries (Holtom et al., 2008; Maertz & Campion, 2004). By contrast, the present analysis suggests that external stressors such as climate volatility and economic insecurity increasingly shape turnover dynamics, particularly in regions where livelihoods are climate-sensitive. This insight invites a more holistic approach to retention research that integrates environmental and socio-economic variables into predictive models (Ahmed et al., 2021).

From a policy and practice perspective, the discussion underscores the importance of ethical and inclusive analytics governance. In both organizational and cooperative contexts, the legitimacy of data-driven interventions depends on transparency, participation, and accountability (Microsoft, 2020). The climate adaptation literature reinforces this point by documenting cases where top-down information systems failed to enhance resilience because they excluded local knowledge and marginalized vulnerable groups (Ibrahim et al., 2022). The study therefore advocates for analytics frameworks that combine quantitative data with qualitative insight and stakeholder engagement.

The discussion also identifies important limitations and avenues for future research. One limitation lies in the conceptual nature of the study, which, while enabling deep integration, cannot substitute for empirical validation across diverse contexts. Future research could employ comparative case studies or mixed-method designs to examine how predictive analytics operates in specific organizations and cooperatives under varying climate conditions (Lesnikowski et al., 2021). Another avenue involves exploring the role of digital divides and data infrastructure in shaping the distributional effects of analytics-based resilience strategies (European Environment Agency, 2023).

Finally, the discussion points toward a broader normative implication: in an era of climate uncertainty and social fragmentation, organizations and cooperatives are increasingly judged not only by their performance, but by their capacity to care for and sustain their human communities. Predictive analytics, when aligned with supportive values and participatory governance, can contribute to this ethical project by enabling institutions to anticipate harm, allocate resources fairly, and foster collective resilience (Bock, 2015; FAO, 2023).

Conclusion

This article has advanced an integrative theoretical analysis of predictive analytics as a foundational capability for human capital resilience and adaptive governance under climate volatility. By synthesizing literatures on HR analytics, organizational behavior, strategic capability, and climate adaptation, the study demonstrates that data-driven practices play a critical role in shaping anticipatory decision-making, trust, and learning across both corporate organizations and agricultural cooperatives. The analysis moves beyond sectoral silos to reveal common mechanisms through which information, support, and institutional capacity interact to sustain human commitment in uncertain environments.

The findings underscore that predictive analytics is not inherently transformative; its impact depends on how it is embedded within organizational cultures, governance structures, and ethical frameworks. When aligned with principles of perceived support, inclusivity, and collective learning, analytics can enhance resilience by enabling institutions to anticipate risks and respond proactively.

Conversely, when deployed without transparency or participation, it may exacerbate inequality and erode trust.

By reframing predictive analytics as a social capability rather than a purely technical tool, the article contributes to ongoing debates in management and climate research and opens new avenues for interdisciplinary inquiry. In a world increasingly defined by climate disruption and human capital challenges, such integrative perspectives are essential for developing organizations and cooperatives that are not only efficient, but resilient and humane.

References

1. Climate volatility deepens poverty vulnerability in developing countries. Environmental Research Letters, 16(8), 084052.
2. Employee turnover: A review and directions for future research. Journal of Applied Psychology, 102(2), 147–163.
3. Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Cambridge University Press.
4. Predictive Analytics in HR: A Case Study of Tata Consultancy Services. Journal of Management and Information Technology, 12(3).
5. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120.
6. The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2023. FAO.
7. Using Predictive Analytics to Reduce Turnover. IBM.
8. Organizational Capability: What It Is, What It Does. Harvard Business Review Press.
9. Climate vulnerability and adaptive capacity of smallholder farmers in Rwanda's Eastern Province. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability, 22(1), 45–62.
10. Work Rules!: Insights from Inside Google That Will Transform How You Live and Lead. Twelve Books.
11. Turnover and retention research: A glance at the past, a closer look at the present, and a glimpse at the future. The Academy of Management Annals, 2(1), 231–274.
12. The potential of sub-seasonal to seasonal predictions to anticipate crop yield anomalies in Europe. Earth System Dynamics, 12(3), 875–892.
13. Perceived organizational support. Journal of Applied Psychology, 71(3), 500–507.
14. Climate change, impacts and vulnerability in Europe 2023. Publications Office of the European Union.
15. Big Data in Big Companies. International Journal of Business Intelligence Research, 4(1), 1–19.
16. Employee-organization exchange relationships, HRM practices, and quit rates of good and poor performers. Academy of Management Journal, 56(5), 1361–1385.
17. Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets into Tangible Outcomes. Harvard Business School Press.
18. Impacts of climate extremes on rice production in China under different planting systems. Agricultural Systems, 187, 102998.
19. Microsoft's Diversity and Inclusion Report. Microsoft.
20. Climate-induced precipitation shifts and agricultural co-operative performance in Southern Malawi. African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 16(2), 89–104.
21. The evidence for policy-relevant adaptation research. Global Environmental Change, 60, 102038.
22. Climate change and crop productivity in Kenya: Evidence from panel data. Environment and Development Economics, 26(4), 391–412.
23. Soil degradation in the Ethiopian Highlands: Drivers, impacts, and restoration options. Land Degradation & Development, 33(5), 789–801.
24. What does the Paris Agreement mean for adaptation? Climate Policy, 21(1), 1–13.
25. Climate Risk Management, 33, 100335.
26. The resource-based view: A review and assessment of its critiques. Journal of Management, 46(7), 1111–1136.
27. Impacts of rising temperatures on agricultural co-operatives in Jordan: Evidence from crop yield and climate data. Journal of Arid Environments, 189, 104482.
28. Seasonal climatic variability and its impact on agro-based co-operatives in Nigeria. Climate and Development, 14(7), 601–615.

29. Heat stress impacts on wheat production in India: Trends and adaptation strategies. Current Science, 122(5), 567–575.

30. Erratic rainfall and wheat-rice productivity in Punjab's agricultural co-operatives, Pakistan. Environmental Management, 71(5), 1203–1217.

31. Compound drought-flood events threaten China's food security under climate change. Nature Food, 5(1), 45–54.

32. Impacts of climate change on flood risk in Central Europe: Case study of the Danube Basin. Water, 15(4), 789.

33. Precipitation variability and sorghum-millet production in Darfur's agricultural co-operatives, Sudan. Weather, Climate, and Society, 14(4), 1325–1339.

34. Predictive Analytics in HR: A Case Study of Infosys. Journal of Management and Information Technology, 10(2), 2020.