EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EMERGING CLOUD AND QUANTUM COMPUTING

# Harnessing the Quantum Cloud: An IMRaD Review of Architectures, Challenges, and Vistas

**Dr. Alvion R. Kestrel**
**Department of Computer Science, National University of Singapore, Singapore**

**Dr. Nyra T. Velmark**
**Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA, United States**

**V0LUME02 ISSUE02 (2025)**

**Published Date: 22 October 2025 // Page no.: - 10-16**

## ABSTRACT

We stand at the confluence of two technological revolutions: quantum computing and the cloud. Their fusion has created Quantum Cloud Computing (QCC), a remarkable new paradigm that is prying open the doors to the quantum realm for scientists, developers, and pioneers around the globe. This review tells the story of QCC, exploring its current landscape from the ground up. We'll journey through the fascinating world of quantum hardware, from superconducting circuits chilled to temperatures colder than deep space to individual atoms trapped by lasers. We'll navigate the complex software stacks and emerging service models, like Quantum-Function-as-a-Service (QFaaS), that make this power accessible. We then turn to the thrilling applications taking shape in chemistry, finance, and artificial intelligence. However, this journey is not without its dragons. We will confront the immense challenges of the current Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era—the delicate nature of qubits, the disruptive chatter of system noise, and the profound security questions that arise when delegating computations to the cloud. Finally, we'll cast our eyes to the horizon, charting the future directions for this field, from tightly-knit hybrid systems to the grand vision of a globe-spanning Quantum Internet. While the ultimate promise of quantum computing is still unfolding, this review shows how the cloud has become the essential vessel for this incredible voyage of discovery.

**Keywords:** Quantum Computing, Cloud Computing, Quantum Cloud Computing, NISQ, Hybrid Quantum-Classical Systems, Quantum Security, Quantum Internet, Quantum Resource Management, Quantum Serverless.

## INTRODUCTION

For decades, two parallel stories of innovation have been reshaping our world. In one, scientists have been delving into the bizarre and wonderful world of quantum mechanics, learning to harness its rules to build a new kind of computer—one that promises to solve problems far beyond the reach of any machine we know today [134]. At the heart of this revolution is the qubit, a fundamental unit of information that, unlike a classical bit, can be both 0 and 1 at the same time (superposition) and can be mysteriously linked to other qubits in a phenomenon called entanglement. With these strange powers, quantum algorithms like Shor's for code-breaking [171] and Grover's for searching [64] promise to rewrite the rulebook of computation.

In the other story, a revolution of access and scale was taking place. Cloud computing emerged, transforming the digital landscape from a world of siloed, privately-owned servers into a global utility [17, 18]. Suddenly, immense computational power was available on-demand, democratizing technology and fueling an explosion of innovation.

Today, these two stories have converged. The result is Quantum Cloud Computing (QCC), a model where the world's most advanced, expensive, and delicate quantum computers are made available to anyone with an internet connection [100, 176]. This isn't just a convenience; it's a necessity. Building and maintaining a quantum computer is a monumental undertaking, requiring specialized environments and expertise that few possess [163]. The cloud removes this barrier, allowing us to enter the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era [150]. This is our current reality: quantum processors with tens to hundreds of qubits that are powerful but imperfect, like finely-tuned but temperamental instruments. The cloud is the grand concert hall where we can learn to play them—to benchmark their performance [158], compose new techniques to manage their noise [26], and conduct the first real-world experiments that could one day change everything [93].

This review provides a guided tour of that concert hall. We will explore the QCC ecosystem in its entirety, from the foundational concepts to the far-flung future, providing a map for anyone, from quantum physicists to cloud engineers, who wants to understand and participate in this incredible journey.

## 2. METHODS

To assess the current state and future trajectory of Quantum Cloud Computing, this review employed a systematic survey of the technological landscape. The methodology involved a comprehensive analysis of the constituent components of the QCC ecosystem, from physical hardware to user-facing software platforms and service models. This survey forms the basis for identifying the key results (open problems) and discussing their implications. The methods of this review are broken down into the following areas of investigation:

- Survey of Core Architectures and Platforms: We investigated the foundational technologies that enable QCC. This included a detailed tour of the primary Hardware Modalities (the "Hardware Menagerie"), such as superconducting qubits, trapped ions, photons, and neutral atoms, to understand their operating principles and trade-offs [37]. We then mapped the ecosystem of Gatekeepers—the major cloud platforms and direct hardware providers like IBM Quantum, Amazon Braket, and Microsoft Azure Quantum [78, 61, 125]. Finally, we analyzed the critical Software Stack, including programming languages (Qiskit, Cirq) and the complex compilers that bridge the gap between abstract algorithms and physical hardware [85, 101].
- Analysis of Emerging Service Models: We examined the evolution of service delivery in QCC, analyzing how models from classical cloud computing are being adapted for the quantum realm. This included a review of Quantum Computing as a Service (QCaaS) [132], the crucial paradigm of Hybrid Quantum-Classical Computing [14, 91], and the forward-looking Quantum Serverless (QFaaS) model that promises to abstract away infrastructure complexity [51, 132].
- Cataloging of Key Applications and Use Cases: To understand the practical impact of QCC, we cataloged the primary application domains where it is being actively used. This involved reviewing seminal and recent work in Quantum Chemistry and Materials Science [9, 24, 138], Quantum Machine Learning (QML) [74, 139], Finance [70, 140], Optimization [47], and Cryptography (specifically Quantum Random Number Generation) [77, 98].

By systematically reviewing these areas, we constructed a holistic picture of the state-of-the-art, which allows for a structured presentation of the field's primary challenges and opportunities.

**Table 1: Representative Quantum Hardware Vendors with Cloud Access (as of early 2024)**

This table summarizes the diverse hardware landscape accessible via the cloud, highlighting the different technological approaches and scales.

| Hardware Vendor | QPU Technology | Max Qubit Count | Qubit Topology | Key Supported SDKs | Direct Cloud | External Cloud Access |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **IBM Quantum** [78] | Superconducting | 1121 | Partially Connected | Qiskit | Yes | Yes |
| **Google** [62] | Superconducting | 54 | Partially Connected | Cirq | Yes | No |
| **IonQ** [84] | Trapped Ion | 36 | Fully Connected | Qiskit, Cirq, Braket, Q# | Yes | Yes |
| **Quantinuum** [154] | Trapped Ion | 32 | Fully Connected | tket, lambeq | No | Yes |
| **Rigetti** [91] | Superconducting | 84 | Partially Connected | Quil | Yes | Yes |
| **D-Wave** [179] | Quantum Annealing | ~5000 | Partially Connected | Ocean | Yes | Yes |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Pasqal** [141] | Neutral Atom | 100 | Partially Connected | Pulser | Yes | Yes |
| **QuEra** [155] | Neutral Atom | 256 | Partially Connected | Braket SDK | No | Yes |
| **Xanadu** [198] | Photonics | 24 | Partially Connected | PennyLane | Yes | No |
| **Atom Computin g** [32] | Neutral Atom | 1180 | Partially Connected | *TBD* | *Coming Soon* | *Coming Soon* |

**Table 2: Key Applications of Quantum Cloud Computing**

This table highlights seminal and representative works demonstrating the use of QCC across different domains.

| **Category** | **Representative Work** | **Highlights** |
|---|---|---|
| **Quantum Chemistry** | O'Malley et al. (Google) [138] | Calculated the ground-state energy of the hydrogen molecule using a cloud-based superconducting processor. |
| | Kandala et al. (IBM) [90] | Simulated molecules up to $BeH_2$ using a 6-qubit device, demonstrating a hardware-efficient VQE algorithm. |
| **Quantum Machine Learning** | Gomez et al. [58] | Proposed AutoQML, a cloud-based framework to automatically search for optimal quantum circuit architectures using a qGAN. |
| | Fadli et al. [45] | Developed a hybrid QML model using a Quantum Integrated Cloud Architecture (QICA) for aerospace applications. |
| **Cryptography (QRNG)** | Huang et al. [77] | Integrated four different types of quantum random number generators on Alibaba Cloud for enhanced security. |
| | Kumar et al. [98] | Demonstrated a device-independent quantum random number generator on |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | the IBM Quantum platform. |
| **Finance & Optimization** | Herman et al. [70] | Reviewed applications of quantum computing for finance, including risk analysis and optimization, accessible via cloud. |
| **Security & Privacy** | Azzaoui et al. [44] | Proposed a blockchain-based delegated quantum cloud architecture to enhance security for medical data processing. |

enhance security for medical data processing.

**3. Results: Open Problems and Grand Challenges**

The systematic review of the QCC landscape reveals a field brimming with potential but also confronting significant and multifaceted challenges. These findings, or "results" of our survey, represent the key open problems that must be solved to unlock the next era of quantum computing.

**3.1. Hardware and NISQ-Era Limitations**

The most fundamental challenges originate from the physical hardware itself. Today's quantum processors are powerful but deeply flawed instruments.

- The Problem of Noise and Decoherence: Our review confirms that environmental noise, which causes qubits to lose their quantum state (decoherence) and introduces errors, remains the single most significant barrier of the NISQ era [3, 26].
- Scalability and Connectivity Constraints: While qubit counts are rising [51, 80], our analysis shows that scaling is hampered by the difficulty of maintaining quality and, crucially, by the limited connectivity between qubits on a chip, which necessitates error-prone SWAP operations.
- Crosstalk as a Major Error Source: We find that as qubit density increases, crosstalk—the unintentional interaction between neighboring qubits—emerges as a critical and growing source of correlated errors that threatens the fidelity of multi-qubit operations [68, 166].
- The Lack of Standardized Benchmarks: The survey reveals a "benchmarking dilemma." Simple metrics like qubit count are insufficient, and while more holistic measures like Quantum Volume (QV) exist, there is no universally accepted standard, making direct comparison of different hardware platforms difficult and progress hard to quantify [158, 189].

**3.2. Software, Architecture, and Resource Management Challenges**

The software layer faces the immense task of compensating for the hardware's imperfections.

- Inefficient Resource Management: Our findings indicate that the management of precious quantum resources is a major open problem. This includes the difficulty of resource estimation before a job runs [178, 85], the complexity of fair and efficient scheduling in a shared cloud environment [156, 157], and the need for advanced techniques like multi-programming to improve hardware utilization [35, 107].
- Sub-Optimal Compilation: The review highlights that the performance of an algorithm is critically dependent on the quality of the hardware-aware compilation, which remains a highly challenging task in optimizing for noise and connectivity [101].
- Ecosystem Fragmentation: A key result of our survey is the identification of a "Tower of Babel" problem. The lack of standardization across provider-specific software stacks and APIs creates vendor lock-in and significantly hinders the development of a portable, robust quantum software ecosystem [92, 167].

3.3. Security, Privacy, and Verification Challenges

The delegation of computation to the cloud introduces profound security risks.

- The Confidentiality Gap: While protocols for Blind Quantum Computing (BQC) exist in theory to protect user privacy, our review finds they have a high resource overhead that makes them impractical for most near-term applications on NISQ devices [15, 49, 2, 50].
- The Verification Problem: A significant finding is the challenge of trust. It is difficult for a user to verify that a result from the cloud was genuinely produced by a quantum computer and is correct. While verification protocols are an active area of research [53, 121], they are often complex, and it has been shown that classical systems can sometimes "forge" quantum-like results, undermining trust [88, 201].

- Platform Vulnerabilities: The shared nature of the cloud creates unique attack vectors. Our analysis points to the potential for side-channel attacks via crosstalk and the need for hardware-level authentication mechanisms like QuPUFs to ensure platform integrity [146, 68].

### 3.4. The Distributed Computing Frontier

The ultimate vision for scaling faces its own set of grand challenges.

- The Quantum Internet Hurdle: Our review confirms that the vision of a distributed quantum computer is entirely dependent on the creation of a Quantum Internet, a monumental scientific and engineering challenge that requires breakthroughs in quantum repeaters and networking protocols [194, 19, 173].

- The Complexity of Distributed Coordination: Even with a Quantum Internet, the problem of coordinating a computation across a network of QPUs and managing distributed entanglement resources is an open and profoundly complex frontier [20, 36, 29].

## 4. DISCUSSION

The results of our review paint a clear picture: Quantum Cloud Computing is a vibrant and rapidly advancing field, but its path forward requires navigating the significant challenges identified above. In this section, we discuss the implications of these findings and explore the most promising future directions for research and development.

### 4.1. The Power of the Hybrid: Tightly Integrated Systems

The limitations of NISQ hardware strongly suggest that for the foreseeable future, the most impactful applications will be hybrid, leveraging the strengths of both quantum and classical processors [14]. The discussion, therefore, must center on how to make this partnership more effective. The future lies in building seamless, low-latency platforms where the quantum-classical dance is perfectly choreographed [91].

The emergence of Quantum Serverless (QFaaS) is a direct and powerful response to this need [132]. As our results show, managing hybrid workflows is complex. The serverless philosophy, by abstracting away the underlying infrastructure, allows developers to focus on the application logic itself, composing powerful solutions from libraries of quantum and classical functions [63, 203]. This will be key to making hybrid quantum computing practical for a wider audience. Furthermore, as QML matures, the field will need to adopt the engineering discipline of QMLOps [86, 96], creating robust pipelines for training, deploying, and monitoring these hybrid models at scale.

### 4.2. From Centralized Clouds to a Global Quantum Internet

The challenge of scaling individual QPUs points toward a long-term future in distributed computing. The grand vision of a Quantum Internet is not just about communication; it's about creating a global computational fabric [34, 117]. This network could enable paradigms that are impossible today, such as federated quantum learning, where AI models are trained across distributed data sources without compromising privacy [169]. The monumental task of building this network, including the development of a full quantum network protocol stack, represents one of the most important and ambitious research frontiers for the next decade [83, 21].

### 4.3. The Quest for a Common Language: Standardization

The fragmentation of the QCC ecosystem, a key finding of our review, is a significant impediment to progress. The discussion must emphasize the urgent need for standardization. The community should rally around developing powerful middleware that can serve as a universal translator, allowing code to be written once and run efficiently on any quantum backend [48]. This would break down the current walled gardens and foster a more competitive and innovative software market.

Similarly, we must establish an "honest scorecard" for the hardware itself. The development of fair, comprehensive, and community-driven benchmarks is essential. This is the only way to move beyond marketing metrics and provide the clear, objective data needed to guide user decisions and drive meaningful technological progress [158, 189].

### 4.4. Conclusion

Quantum Cloud Computing has done something remarkable. It has taken one of the most complex and esoteric technologies ever conceived by humanity and turned it into an accessible resource, a global laboratory for innovation. It is the engine of the NISQ era, the indispensable tool that is allowing a generation of scientists and engineers to explore the frontiers of computation [13, 176]. We are already seeing the first fruits of this new era: tantalizing results in the simulation of molecules, new approaches to optimization, and the first steps toward a true quantum-powered artificial intelligence [200].

But our journey has just begun. The road ahead is long, and the challenges are immense. The fundamental fragility of today's quantum hardware is a constant battle, a fight that will require new breakthroughs in physics and engineering [37]. In parallel, we must continue to co-design the incredibly sophisticated software needed to control these machines and protect them from the shadows of the cloud [101]. The future will be hybrid, built on the seamless integration of quantum and classical power, and eventually, it will be distributed, woven into the fabric of a new Quantum Internet. While the day of a true, fault-tolerant quantum computer may still be over the horizon [72], the Quantum Cloud Computing model is the vessel that will carry us there. It is the crucible where the challenges of today will be forged into the revolutions of tomorrow.

**5. REFERENCES**


1. Qcloud. 2024. 1Qloud Optimization Platform - 1QBit. https://1qbit.com/1qloud/. Accessed: 2024-03-07.
2. Scott Aaronson, Alexandru Cojocaru, Alexandru Gheorghiu, and Elham Kashefi. 2019. Complexity-theoretic limitations on blind delegated quantum computation. arXiv:1704.08482 [quant-ph]
3. Nikita Acharya and Samah Mohamed Saeed. 2020. A Lightweight Approach to Detect Malicious/Unexpected Changes in the Error Rates of NISQ Computers. In Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Computer-Aided Design (Virtual Event, USA) (ICCAD '20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 153, 9 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3400302.3415684
4. Aakash Ahmad, Muhammad Waseem, Peng Liang, Mahdi Fehmideh, Arif Ali Khan, David Georg Reichelt, and Tommi Mikkonen. 2023. Engineering Software Systems for Quantum Computing as a Service: A Mapping Study. arXiv:2303.14713 [cs.SE]
5. Zapata AI. [n. d.]. Orquestra Platform — zapata.ai. https://zapata.ai/platform-orquestra/. Accessed 13-12-2023.
6. AQT. 2024. AQT realizes the first general-purpose Quantum Computer. https://www.aqt.eu/. Accessed: 2024-03-07.
7. J. M. Arrazola, V. Bergholm, K. Brádler, T. R. Bromley, M. J. Collins, I. Dhand, A. Fumagalli, T. Gerrits, A. Goussev, L. G. Helt, J. Hundal, T. Isacsson, R. B. Israel, J. Izaac, S. Jahangiri, R. Janik, N. Killoran, S. P. Kumar, J. Lavoie, A. E. Lita, D. H. Mahler, M. Menotti, B. Morrison, S. W. Nam, L. Neuhaus, H. Y. Qi, N. Quesada, A. Repingon, K. K. Sabapathy, M. Schuld, D. Su, J. Swinarton, A. Száva, K. Tan, P. Tan, V. D. Vaidya, Z. Vernon, Z. Zabaneh, and Y. Zhang. 2021. Quantum circuits with many photons on a programmable nanophotonic chip. Nature 591, 7848 (mar 2021), 54–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03202-1
8. Frank Arute, Kunal Arya, Ryan Babbush, Dave Bacon, Joseph C. Bardin, Rami Barends, Rupak Biswas, Sergio Boixo, Fernando G.S.L. Brandao, David A. Buell, Brian Burkett, Yu Chen, Zijun Chen, Ben Chiaro, Roberto Collins, Courtney, Farhi, Fowler, Marissa Giustina, Rob Graff, Guerin, Harrigan, Alan Ho, Markus Hoffmann, Huang, Isakov, Zhang Jiang, Dvir Kafri, Kechedzhi, Paul V. Klimov, Knysh, Kostritsa, Mike Lindmark, Erik Lucero, Lyakh, Jarrod R. McClean, Matthew McEwen, Anthony Megrant, Mi, et al. 2019. Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor. Nature 574, 7779 (oct 2019), 505–510. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5
9. Kushal Batra, Zorn, Minerali, and Lane. 2021. Quantum Machine Learning Algorithms for Drug Discovery Applications. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling 61, 6 (jun 2021), 2641–2647. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00166
10. Charles H. Bennett and Gilles Brassard. 2014. Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing. Theoretical Computer Science 560 (2014), 7–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2014.05.025
11. Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange. 2017. Post-quantum cryptography. Nature 549, 7671 (sep 2017), 188–194. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23461
12. S. Blinov, B. Wu, and C. Monroe. 2021. Comparison of Cloud-Based Ion Trap and Superconducting Quantum Computer Architectures. arXiv:2102.00371 [quant-ph]
13. Bova, Francesco, Goldfarb, Avi, and Melko, Roger G. 2021. Commercial applications of quantum computing. EPJ Quantum Technol. 8, 1 (2021), 2. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjqt/s40507-021-00091-1
14. Keith A. Britt and Travis S. Humble. 2017. High-Performance Computing with Quantum Processing Units. ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems 13, 3 (mar 2017), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1145/3007651
15. Anne Broadbent, Joseph Fitzsimons, and Elham Kashefi. 2009. Universal Blind Quantum Computation. In 2009 50th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science. IEEE, Atlanta, GA, USA, 517–526. https://doi.org/10.1109/focs.2009.36
16. Johannes A. Buchmann, Butin, and Albrecht Petzoldt. 2016. Post-Quantum Cryptography: State of the Art. In The New Codebreakers, Peter Y. A. Ryan and Naccache (Eds.). Vol. 9100. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 88–108. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49301-4_6
17. Rajkumar Buyya, Satish Narayana Srirama, Giuliano Casale, Rodrigo Calheiros, Yogesh Simmhan, Blesson Varghese, Erol Gelenbe, Bahman Javadi, Luis Miguel Vaquero, Marco A. S. Netto, Adel Nadjaran Toosi, Maria Alejandra Rodriguez, Ignacio M. Llorente, Sabrina De Capitani Di Vimercati, Pierangela Samarati, Dejan Milojicic, Carlos Varela, Rami Bahsoon, Marcos Dias De Assuncao, Omer Rana, Wanlei Zhou, Hai Jin, Wolfgang Gentzsch, Albert Y. Zomaya, and Haiying Shen. 2018. A Manifesto for Future Generation Cloud Computing: Research Directions for the Next Decade. ACM Comput. Surv. 51, 5, Article 105 (nov 2018), 38 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3241737
18. Rajkumar Buyya, Chee Shin Yeo, Srikumar Venugopal, James Broberg, and Ivona Brandic. 2009. Cloud

computing and emerging IT platforms: Vision, hype, and reality for delivering computing as the 5th utility. Future Generation Computer Systems 25, 6 (2009), 599–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2008.12.001

**19.** Angela Sara Cacciapuoti, Caleffi, Cataliotti, and Giuseppe Bianchi. 2020. Quantum Internet: Networking Challenges in Distributed Quantum Computing. IEEE Network 34, 1 (2020), 137–143. https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.001.1900092

**20.** Marcello Caleffi, Amoretti, Cuomo, and Manzalini. 2022. Distributed Quantum Computing: a Survey. http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.10609 arXiv:2212.10609 [quant-ph].

**21.** Marcello Caleffi, Angela Sara Cacciapuoti, and Giuseppe Bianchi. 2018. Quantum Internet: From Communication to Distributed Computing!. In Proceedings of the 5th ACM International Conference on Nanoscale Computing and Communication (Reykjavik, Iceland) (NANOCOM '18). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 3, 4 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3233188.3233224

**22.** Rodrigo N. Calheiros, Rajiv Ranjan, Anton Beloglazov, César A. F. De Rose, and Rajkumar Buyya. 2011. CloudSim: a toolkit for modeling and simulation of cloud computing environments and evaluation of resource provisioning algorithms. Software: Practice and Experience 41, 1 (2011), 23–50. https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.995

**23.** Earl T. Campbell. 2014. Enhanced Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computing in d-Level Systems. Physical Review Letters 113, 23 (Dec 2014). https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.113.230501

**24.** Y. Cao, J. Romero, and A. Aspuru-Guzik. 2018. Potential of quantum computing for drug discovery. IBM Journal of Research and Development 62, 6 (nov 2018), 6:1–6:20. https://doi.org/10.1147/JRD.2018.2888987

**25.** Yuan Cao, Yongli Zhao, Qin Wang, Jie Zhang, and Ng. 2022. The Evolution of Quantum Key Distribution Networks: On the Road to the Qinternet. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 24, 2 (2022), 839–894. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2022.3144219

**26.** Andre R. R. Carvalho, Harrison Ball, Michael J. Biercuk, Michael R. Hush, and Felix Thomsen. 2021. Error-Robust Quantum Logic Optimization Using a Cloud Quantum Computer Interface. Physical Review Applied 15, 6 (jun 2021). https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevapplied.15.064054

**27.** Huimin Chen, Hengyue Jia, Xia Wu, Xiuli Wang, and Maoning Wang. 2021. Quantum Token for Network Authentication. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS). IEEE, Chicago, IL, USA, 688–692. https://doi.org/10.1109/icws53863.2021.00095

**28.** Xi Chen, Bin Cheng, Zhaokai Li, Xinfang Nie, Nengkun Yu, Man-Hong Yung, and Xinhua Peng. 2021. Experimental cryptographic verification for near-term quantum cloud computing. Science Bulletin 66, 1 (jan 2021), 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2020.08.013

**29.** Claudio Cicconetti, Marco Conti, and Andrea Passarella. 2022. Resource Allocation in Quantum Networks for Distributed Quantum Computing. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Smart Computing (SMARTCOMP). IEEE, Helsinki, Finland, 124–132. https://doi.org/10.1109/SMARTCOMP55677.2022.00032

**30.** Asia Citynow. 2023. Enabling Serverless Quantum Computing with QuaO. https://citynow.asia/press/release/Enabling-Serverless-QuantumComputing-with-QuaO

**31.** Qemist Cloud. 2024. QEMIST Cloud – Good Chemistry Co. https://goodchemistry.com/qemist-cloud/. Accessed: 2024-03-07.

**32.** Atom Computing. 2024. Atom Computing. https://atom-computing.com/. Accessed: 2024-03-07.