

Deep Learning as a Socio-Technical General-Purpose Technology: Architectural Evolution, Market Dynamics, and Cross-Domain Transformations

Dr. Elias Morgenstern

Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Canada

Dr. Sofia Lindqvist

Department of Information Technology, Uppsala University, Sweden

Dr. Matteo Rinaldi

Department of Computer and Systems Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

VOLUME02 ISSUE01 (2025)

Published Date: 19 January 2025 // Page no.: - 1-7

ABSTRACT

Deep learning has emerged as one of the most transformative general-purpose technologies of the twenty-first century, reshaping not only computational practice but also economic organization, scientific inquiry, and socio-technical systems across diverse domains. From its early theoretical foundations in neural computation to its contemporary instantiations in large-scale transformer architectures, deep learning represents a convergence of algorithmic innovation, data availability, hardware acceleration, and market-driven adoption. This article presents a comprehensive and critical examination of deep learning as both a technical paradigm and an economic force, situating architectural developments within broader industrial, institutional, and societal contexts. Drawing exclusively on the provided literature, the study integrates perspectives from computer vision, natural language processing, reinforcement learning, healthcare, bioinformatics, finance, smart cities, recommendation systems, and neuromorphic computing to articulate a unified analytical narrative. Particular attention is paid to the co-evolution of model architectures and market structures, highlighting how advances such as convolutional neural networks, transformers, and reinforcement learning systems have catalyzed new applications while simultaneously being shaped by commercial incentives and infrastructural constraints.

The analysis foregrounds the role of deep learning markets, including hardware, software, and services, as articulated in contemporary industry assessments, to contextualize academic innovation within real-world deployment trajectories (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). Rather than treating market growth as an external outcome, the article argues that economic forces actively influence research priorities, architectural choices, and evaluation norms within the deep learning community. Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative, integrative research design grounded in interpretive synthesis of existing scholarly and industry sources, enabling a richly elaborated discussion without reliance on mathematical formalism or empirical experimentation. The results section presents a descriptive analysis of thematic patterns emerging across application domains, while the discussion section offers an extended theoretical interpretation that engages scholarly debates on generalization, scalability, efficiency, and ethical governance.

By emphasizing depth over brevity and interpretation over summary, this article contributes a holistic, publication-ready account of deep learning's current state and future trajectories. It positions deep learning not merely as a collection of algorithms, but as an evolving socio-technical system whose implications extend far beyond computation into the fabric of modern society (Krizhevsky et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2020; Devlin et al., 2019). In doing so, it provides a foundation for future interdisciplinary research that critically interrogates both the promises and the limitations of deep learning as a dominant technological paradigm (MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

Keywords: Deep learning; neural network architectures; artificial intelligence markets; socio-technical systems; cross-domain applications; computational scalability.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of deep learning as a dominant paradigm in artificial intelligence represents a profound shift in how computational systems are designed, evaluated, and deployed across scientific and industrial contexts. Unlike earlier symbolic or rule-based approaches to artificial

intelligence, deep learning emphasizes data-driven representation learning through layered neural architectures, enabling systems to extract hierarchical features from raw inputs with minimal human intervention (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). This shift has not only transformed technical performance benchmarks but has also reconfigured the institutional and economic

structures surrounding artificial intelligence research and development. As deep learning systems have demonstrated unprecedented capabilities in domains such as image recognition, language understanding, and strategic decision-making, they have become central to both academic inquiry and commercial innovation (Brown et al., 2020).

Historically, the intellectual roots of deep learning can be traced to early work on artificial neurons and connectionist models, which sought to emulate aspects of biological cognition through simplified mathematical abstractions (Zhang et al., 2017). For much of the late twentieth century, however, these approaches were constrained by limited computational resources, insufficient data, and methodological skepticism regarding their scalability and generalization. The resurgence of neural networks in the early 2010s, often referred to as the “deep learning revolution,” was catalyzed by a confluence of factors: the availability of large labeled datasets, advances in parallel computing hardware such as graphics processing units, and algorithmic innovations that mitigated longstanding optimization challenges (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). These developments collectively enabled deep architectures to outperform traditional machine learning methods across a range of benchmark tasks, thereby legitimizing deep learning as a mainstream research agenda.

The transformative impact of deep learning is perhaps most visible in computer vision, where convolutional neural networks dramatically improved image classification accuracy and reshaped the field’s methodological foundations (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). Yet the influence of deep learning extends far beyond vision, encompassing natural language processing, speech recognition, reinforcement learning, and multimodal reasoning (Devlin et al., 2019; Silver et al., 2018). Large-scale language models, for instance, have challenged conventional assumptions about task-specific modeling by demonstrating few-shot and zero-shot learning capabilities that blur the boundaries between training and inference (Brown et al., 2020). Similarly, reinforcement learning systems trained through self-play have achieved superhuman performance in complex strategic games, prompting renewed debate about the nature of intelligence and the role of experience in learning (Silver et al., 2018).

While technical achievements have been widely celebrated, a growing body of scholarship emphasizes the need to situate deep learning within broader socio-technical and economic contexts. Deep learning systems do not exist in isolation; they are developed, deployed, and governed within markets that shape their trajectories in subtle but consequential ways. Industry analyses indicate that the global deep learning market encompasses a complex ecosystem of hardware, software, and services, with rapid growth driven by demand across sectors such as healthcare, finance,

transportation, and entertainment (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). These market dynamics influence research priorities, favoring architectures and applications that align with commercial viability and scalability. Consequently, understanding deep learning requires not only technical expertise but also critical engagement with the economic and institutional forces that condition innovation (Ciancarini et al., 2024).

The integration of deep learning into healthcare provides a compelling illustration of this socio-technical interplay. Deep learning models have been applied to medical imaging, disease diagnosis, drug discovery, and personalized treatment planning, often achieving performance comparable to or exceeding that of human experts (Esteva et al., 2019). However, these applications raise complex ethical, regulatory, and epistemological questions regarding transparency, bias, and accountability. The deployment of deep learning in clinical settings is mediated by regulatory frameworks, professional norms, and patient trust, highlighting the importance of interdisciplinary perspectives that bridge technical and social considerations (Esteva et al., 2019).

Despite the extensive literature on deep learning architectures and applications, significant gaps remain in our understanding of how technical developments, market forces, and societal implications co-evolve. Much existing research focuses narrowly on algorithmic performance or domain-specific use cases, often neglecting the broader systemic dynamics that shape the field. Moreover, debates persist regarding the theoretical foundations of deep learning, particularly with respect to generalization, interpretability, and efficiency (Zhang et al., 2017). These debates are not merely academic; they have practical consequences for how deep learning systems are evaluated, trusted, and regulated in real-world contexts.

This article seeks to address these gaps by offering a comprehensive, integrative analysis of deep learning as a socio-technical general-purpose technology. Drawing exclusively on the provided references, the study synthesizes insights from diverse domains to construct a unified narrative that emphasizes depth, context, and critical reflection. The central argument is that deep learning’s transformative potential cannot be fully understood through technical analysis alone; rather, it must be examined as an evolving system shaped by historical contingencies, market dynamics, and cross-domain interactions (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). By foregrounding these dimensions, the article contributes to ongoing scholarly conversations about the future of artificial intelligence and its role in society.

The remainder of this article is organized into several interrelated sections. The methodology section outlines the qualitative, interpretive approach adopted in this study, detailing the rationale for a text-based analytical framework and acknowledging its limitations. The results section presents a descriptive analysis of thematic patterns across application domains, highlighting how

deep learning architectures have been adapted to diverse contexts. The discussion section offers an extended theoretical interpretation, engaging with scholarly debates, counterarguments, and future research directions. The conclusion synthesizes key insights and reflects on the implications of deep learning's continued expansion. Throughout, the analysis is grounded in the provided literature, ensuring coherence, rigor, and fidelity to established scholarship (Krizhevsky et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2020; MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

METHODOLOGY

The methodological orientation of this study is grounded in qualitative, interpretive research principles, reflecting the article's aim to provide an expansive and theoretically rich analysis of deep learning rather than an empirical or experimental contribution. Given the breadth of domains and perspectives encompassed by the provided references, a text-based integrative methodology was deemed most appropriate for synthesizing insights across technical, economic, and socio-cultural dimensions (Ciancarini et al., 2024). This approach aligns with established practices in interdisciplinary technology studies, where the objective is not to test specific hypotheses but to construct a coherent analytical narrative that captures complexity and nuance (Esteva et al., 2019).

At the core of the methodology is a systematic close reading of the provided references, encompassing foundational technical papers, applied domain studies, and industry analyses. Each source was examined not only for its explicit findings but also for its implicit assumptions, conceptual frameworks, and normative orientations. This enabled the identification of recurring themes, tensions, and trajectories that cut across disparate application areas such as healthcare, finance, smart cities, and entertainment (Masalkhi et al., 2024; Mienye & Jere, 2024). By treating these sources as interconnected rather than isolated contributions, the study adopts a holistic perspective that foregrounds relational understanding over compartmentalized analysis.

A key methodological decision was to foreground market dynamics as an integral component of the analytical framework. Industry-oriented assessments of the deep learning market provide critical context for understanding why certain architectures and applications have gained prominence while others remain marginal (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). Rather than treating market reports as external or secondary to academic research, this study integrates them into the core analytical narrative, recognizing that economic incentives and infrastructural investments play a formative role in shaping research agendas and deployment patterns. This integrative stance reflects a growing recognition within science and technology studies that innovation is co-produced by technical and economic forces (Ciancarini et al., 2024).

The methodology also emphasizes historical contextualization as a means of avoiding presentist interpretations of deep learning's success. By situating contemporary architectures such as transformers and deep reinforcement learning systems within a longer lineage of neural network research, the analysis highlights both continuities and ruptures in the field's evolution (Zhang et al., 2017). This historical lens enables a more nuanced understanding of current debates regarding scalability, generalization, and efficiency, which often echo earlier concerns articulated in different technical contexts (Yuan & Lin, 2006). Such contextualization is essential for critically assessing claims of novelty and inevitability that frequently accompany discussions of deep learning progress.

Another important methodological consideration involves the treatment of domain-specific applications. Rather than providing exhaustive case studies for each application area, the analysis adopts a comparative thematic approach, identifying common patterns and divergences across domains. For example, the use of deep learning in healthcare, finance, and smart cities is examined through shared concerns such as data quality, interpretability, and regulatory oversight, while also acknowledging domain-specific constraints and priorities (Esteva et al., 2019; Massahi & Mahootchi, 2024; Chen et al., 2021). This comparative strategy facilitates cross-domain learning and underscores the general-purpose nature of deep learning technologies.

The exclusive reliance on secondary sources constitutes a deliberate methodological choice, consistent with the article's objective of theoretical elaboration rather than empirical discovery. While this approach enables broad coverage and deep conceptual analysis, it also entails certain limitations. Most notably, the absence of primary data or experimental results means that the study cannot adjudicate competing empirical claims or validate performance metrics reported in the literature. Instead, it relies on the credibility and rigor of the cited sources, acknowledging that these sources themselves may reflect particular methodological biases or contextual constraints (Zhang et al., 2017). This limitation is addressed through critical engagement with scholarly debates and by juxtaposing multiple perspectives where available.

The methodology further incorporates reflexive analysis, recognizing that deep learning research is shaped by normative assumptions about progress, efficiency, and intelligence. By interrogating these assumptions, the study seeks to move beyond celebratory narratives and engage with critical questions regarding sustainability, equity, and governance (Chen et al., 2021). This reflexive stance is particularly important given the rapid commercialization of deep learning and its increasing influence on societal decision-making processes (MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

In summary, the methodological framework of this study is characterized by qualitative synthesis, historical contextualization, market integration, and critical

reflexivity. This approach is well-suited to the article's ambition of providing a comprehensive, publication-ready analysis of deep learning as a socio-technical general-purpose technology. While acknowledging its limitations, the methodology enables a richly elaborated exploration of deep learning's architectures, applications, and implications, grounded firmly in the provided literature (Krizhevsky et al., 2012; Esteva et al., 2019; MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

RESULTS

The results of this integrative analysis are presented in descriptive and interpretive terms, reflecting the study's qualitative methodological orientation. Rather than reporting experimental outcomes or quantitative metrics, this section synthesizes thematic patterns that emerge across the reviewed literature, highlighting how deep learning architectures, applications, and market dynamics interact to produce distinctive trajectories of innovation. Each thematic insight is grounded in multiple sources, ensuring analytical robustness and coherence (Krizhevsky et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2020).

One prominent result concerns the centrality of architectural innovation in driving deep learning's cross-domain success. The transition from shallow neural networks to deep architectures enabled the hierarchical representation of complex data structures, which proved especially effective in perceptual tasks such as image and speech recognition (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). Subsequent architectural developments, including transformer-based models, extended these capabilities to sequential and contextual data, fundamentally altering approaches to natural language processing and multimodal learning (Devlin et al., 2019; Dosovitskiy et al., 2021). Across domains, architectural flexibility emerges as a key factor enabling deep learning systems to be adapted to diverse problem spaces, from medical diagnosis to financial forecasting.

A second major result pertains to the scalability of deep learning systems and its implications for performance and accessibility. Large-scale models trained on vast datasets have demonstrated remarkable generalization capabilities, challenging traditional notions of overfitting and bias-variance trade-offs (Brown et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2017). However, this scalability is unevenly distributed, favoring organizations with access to substantial computational resources and data infrastructures. Industry analyses highlight that the growth of the deep learning market is closely tied to investments in specialized hardware and cloud-based services, which lower barriers for some users while reinforcing structural inequalities in others (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). This dual dynamic underscores the ambivalent role of scalability as both an enabler and a constraint.

The application of deep learning in healthcare yields particularly salient insights into the interaction between

technical capability and institutional context. Studies demonstrate that deep learning models can achieve high accuracy in tasks such as medical image interpretation and drug target prediction, often surpassing conventional analytical methods (Esteva et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2024). Yet the translation of these capabilities into clinical practice is mediated by regulatory approval processes, ethical considerations, and professional acceptance. The literature reveals a recurring tension between performance optimization and the demand for interpretability, with implications for trust and accountability in high-stakes decision-making environments (Esteva et al., 2019).

In financial and economic applications, deep learning systems have been deployed for fraud detection, algorithmic trading, and risk assessment, leveraging their ability to model complex, nonlinear patterns in large datasets (Mienye & Jere, 2024; Massahi & Mahootchi, 2024). The results across these studies suggest that deep learning can enhance predictive performance and operational efficiency. However, they also highlight vulnerabilities related to model robustness, data drift, and adversarial manipulation. Market-oriented analyses further indicate that financial institutions' adoption of deep learning is influenced by cost-benefit considerations and regulatory compliance requirements, reinforcing the importance of market structures in shaping technological uptake (MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

Another significant result emerges from the examination of deep learning in smart cities and networked infrastructures. Applications such as intelligent traffic management and urban security analytics illustrate how deep learning systems are embedded within complex socio-technical ecosystems involving sensors, communication networks, and governance frameworks (Aouedi et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2021). The literature indicates that while deep learning can enhance efficiency and responsiveness in urban systems, it also raises concerns regarding surveillance, privacy, and algorithmic bias. These concerns are not ancillary but integral to the evaluation of deep learning's societal impact, emphasizing the need for holistic assessment frameworks.

Across entertainment and recommendation systems, deep learning has enabled personalized content delivery and interactive experiences, reshaping user engagement and cultural consumption patterns (Ko et al., 2022; Shambour, 2021). The results suggest that deep learning-driven personalization can increase user satisfaction and platform profitability, aligning technical performance with market incentives. However, scholarly critiques point to risks of filter bubbles, homogenization of content, and manipulation of user behavior, highlighting ethical dimensions that accompany commercial success (Ciancarini et al., 2024).

Collectively, these results reveal deep learning as a multifaceted phenomenon characterized by architectural innovation, scalable performance, domain-specific

adaptation, and market-mediated diffusion. Rather than a linear progression toward ever-greater intelligence, the literature portrays a dynamic landscape shaped by competing priorities, constraints, and values. The descriptive synthesis presented here lays the groundwork for deeper theoretical interpretation in the subsequent discussion section, where these patterns are examined in relation to broader debates about general-purpose technologies, governance, and future trajectories (MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

DISCUSSION

The discussion section provides an extended theoretical interpretation of the descriptive results, engaging deeply with scholarly debates, counterarguments, and future research implications. At its core, the discussion advances the argument that deep learning should be understood as a socio-technical general-purpose technology whose development and impact are co-determined by architectural possibilities, market dynamics, and institutional contexts. This framing moves beyond narrow performance-centric narratives to consider the broader conditions under which deep learning evolves and exerts influence (Ciancarini et al., 2024).

One of the central theoretical debates addressed in the literature concerns the nature of generalization in deep learning. Traditional statistical learning theory emphasizes the balance between model complexity and generalization error, often predicting overfitting in highly parameterized models. However, empirical observations in deep learning challenge these assumptions, as overparameterized networks frequently generalize well despite their capacity to memorize training data (Zhang et al., 2017). Scholars have proposed various explanations, including implicit regularization effects and data-dependent inductive biases, yet no consensus has emerged. From a socio-technical perspective, this debate is not merely theoretical; it influences how models are evaluated, trusted, and regulated in practice, particularly in high-stakes domains such as healthcare and finance (Esteva et al., 2019; Mienye & Jere, 2024).

Another significant area of discussion revolves around the scalability paradigm that dominates contemporary deep learning research. The success of large-scale models, particularly in natural language processing, has reinforced a research culture that prioritizes parameter count, dataset size, and computational throughput (Brown et al., 2020). While this paradigm has yielded impressive results, critics argue that it risks marginalizing alternative approaches focused on efficiency, interpretability, and sustainability. Neuromorphic computing and model compression techniques, for example, represent efforts to challenge the assumption that “bigger is better” by exploring biologically inspired or resource-efficient architectures (Davies et al., 2018; Zeng & Yeung, 2006). The tension

between scalability and efficiency reflects deeper normative questions about what kinds of intelligence are valued and for whom.

Market dynamics play a crucial role in shaping these research priorities. Industry reports indicate that demand for deep learning solutions is driving investments in specialized hardware, cloud platforms, and integrated services, reinforcing the dominance of scalable architectures that can be readily monetized (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). This economic context helps explain why certain architectural innovations gain traction while others remain confined to niche research communities. From a critical standpoint, this raises concerns about path dependency and the concentration of power among a small number of technology providers, with implications for innovation diversity and democratic governance (Ciancarini et al., 2024).

The application of deep learning in healthcare exemplifies the complex interplay between technical promise and ethical constraint. While deep learning models have demonstrated remarkable diagnostic capabilities, their deployment raises questions about transparency, bias, and responsibility that cannot be resolved through technical means alone (Esteva et al., 2019). Scholars emphasize the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration among clinicians, data scientists, ethicists, and regulators to ensure that deep learning systems align with medical values and societal expectations. This perspective challenges reductionist views that equate improved accuracy with improved outcomes, highlighting the need for context-sensitive evaluation frameworks.

In financial and economic domains, the discussion centers on the dual role of deep learning as both a tool for efficiency and a source of systemic risk. Algorithmic trading systems and fraud detection models can enhance market stability by identifying anomalies and optimizing strategies, yet they can also amplify volatility and obscure accountability when decisions are automated (Massahi & Mahootchi, 2024; Sevastjanov et al., 2024). The literature suggests that regulatory oversight and transparency mechanisms are essential complements to technical innovation, reinforcing the argument that deep learning governance must be proactive rather than reactive (MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

Smart city applications further complicate the ethical landscape by embedding deep learning systems within public infrastructures that affect large populations (Chen et al., 2021). The promise of optimized traffic flow and enhanced security must be weighed against concerns about surveillance, data ownership, and algorithmic discrimination. These debates underscore the importance of participatory design and public accountability in the deployment of deep learning technologies, challenging technocratic models of urban innovation (Aouedi et al., 2022).

Looking toward future research directions, the literature

points to several promising avenues. Advances in model interpretability, transfer learning, and multimodal integration hold potential for addressing some of the limitations identified in current systems (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021; Paliwal et al., 2024). At the same time, there is growing interest in hybrid approaches that combine deep learning with symbolic reasoning or domain knowledge, seeking to balance flexibility with structure. From a socio-technical perspective, future research must also engage more deeply with questions of governance, equity, and sustainability, ensuring that deep learning's benefits are broadly distributed and its risks responsibly managed (Ciancarini et al., 2024).

In sum, the discussion highlights deep learning as a field characterized by both extraordinary potential and profound challenges. By situating technical developments within market and societal contexts, this article contributes a nuanced perspective that complements existing performance-oriented studies. The analysis underscores the need for reflexive, interdisciplinary approaches that recognize deep learning not merely as a set of algorithms, but as a transformative force shaping the future of computation and society (MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

CONCLUSION

This article has presented an extensive, integrative analysis of deep learning as a socio-technical general-purpose technology, drawing exclusively on the provided literature to construct a richly elaborated academic narrative. By examining architectural evolution, cross-domain applications, and market dynamics in tandem, the study has demonstrated that deep learning's transformative impact cannot be adequately understood through technical performance metrics alone. Instead, it must be situated within historical trajectories, economic structures, and institutional frameworks that shape both innovation and adoption (Krizhevsky et al., 2012; MarketsandMarkets, 2023).

The analysis underscores that deep learning's success is rooted in its capacity for flexible representation learning, enabling adaptation across diverse domains from healthcare to finance and smart cities. At the same time, it reveals persistent tensions related to scalability, interpretability, efficiency, and governance, highlighting areas where future research and policy intervention are needed (Esteva et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2017). By foregrounding these complexities, the article contributes a critical perspective that complements and extends existing scholarship.

Ultimately, deep learning's trajectory will be shaped not only by algorithmic breakthroughs but also by collective choices regarding investment, regulation, and ethical responsibility. As the deep learning market continues to expand, informed by industry assessments and societal demand, scholars and practitioners alike must engage with the broader implications of this technology

(MarketsandMarkets, 2023). This article provides a foundation for such engagement, emphasizing depth, context, and critical reflection as essential components of rigorous academic inquiry.

REFERENCES

1. Masalkhi, M.; Ong, J.; Waisberg, E.; Lee, A.G. Google DeepMind's Gemini AI versus ChatGPT: A comparative analysis in ophthalmology. *Eye*, 2024, 38, 1412–1417.
2. Krizhevsky, A.; Sutskever, I.; Hinton, G.E. ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2012, 1097–1105.
3. Aouedi, O.; Piamrat, K.; Parrein, B. Intelligent traffic management in next-generation networks. *Future Internet*, 2022, 14, 44.
4. Brown, T.B.; et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020, 33, 1877–1901.
5. Chen, D.; Wawrzynski, P.; Lv, Z. Cyber security in smart cities: A review of deep learning-based applications and case studies. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 2021, 66, 102655.
6. MarketsandMarkets. Deep learning market by offering (hardware, software, and services), application, end-user industry, and geography – global forecast to 2028, 2023.
7. Devlin, J.; Chang, M.W.; Lee, K.; Toutanova, K. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, 2019, 4171–4186.
8. Esteva, A.; et al. A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine*, 2019, 25, 24–29.
9. Silver, D.; et al. A general reinforcement learning algorithm that masters chess, shogi, and Go through self-play. *Science*, 2018, 362, 1140–1144.
10. Dosovitskiy, A.; et al. An image is worth 16×16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
11. Davies, J.; et al. Loihi: A neuromorphic manycore processor with on-chip learning. *IEEE Micro*, 2018, 38, 82–99.
12. Ciancarini, P.; Nakatsu, R.; Rauterberg, M. Entertainment computing: Past, present, and future. In *Handbook of Human Computer Interaction*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2024; pp. 1–21.
13. Mienye, I.D.; Jere, N. Deep learning for credit card fraud detection: A review of algorithms, challenges, and solutions. *IEEE Access*, 2024, 12, 96893–96910.
14. Massahi, M.; Mahootchi, M. A deep Q-learning based

- algorithmic trading system for commodity futures markets. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2024, 237, 121711.
15. Sevastjanov, P.; Kaczmarek, K.; Rutkowski, L. A multi-model approach to the development of algorithmic trading systems for the Forex market. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2024, 236, 121310.
 16. Ko, H.; Lee, S.; Park, Y.; Choi, A. A survey of recommendation systems: Recommendation models, techniques, and application fields. *Electronics*, 2022, 11, 141.
 17. Shambour, Q. A deep learning based algorithm for multi-criteria recommender systems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 2021, 211, 106545.
 18. Chen, H.; et al. Drug target prediction through deep learning functional representation of gene signatures. *Nature Communications*, 2024, 15, 1853.
 19. Paliwal, S.; Sharma, A.; Jain, S.; Sharma, S. Machine learning and deep learning in bioinformatics. In *Bioinformatics and Computational Biology*; Chapman and Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2024; pp. 63–74.
 20. Zhang, C.; Bengio, S.; Hardt, M.; Recht, B.; Vinyals, O. Understanding deep learning requires rethinking generalization. *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017.
 21. Yuan, M.; Lin, Y. Model selection and estimation in regression with grouped variables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, 2006, 68, 49–67.
 22. Zeng, X.; Yeung, D.S. Hidden neuron pruning of multilayer perceptrons using a quantified sensitivity measure. *Neurocomputing*, 2006, 69, 825–837.
 23. Zaheer, M.; et al. Big Bird: Transformers for longer sequences. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020.
 24. Yun, C.; et al. $O(n)$ connections are expressive enough: Universal approximability of sparse transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020.